



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

THE
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/690,366	10/16/2000	Billy P. Taylor	1005.6	3106
53953	7590	07/17/2006	EXAMINER	
DAVIS LAW GROUP, P.C. 9020 N. CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY. BUILDING 1, SUITE 375 AUSTIN, TX 78759			LUDWIG, MATTHEW J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2178

DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/690,366	TAYLOR, BILLY P.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew J. Ludwig	2178	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 April 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,9-12 and 14-30 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,9-12 and 14-30 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/18/06, 6/7/06</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed 4/17/06.
2. Claims 1-45 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 3, 9, 11, 17, 19, 43, 44, and 45, are independent claims. Applicant pursuant to Election by Original Presentation has withdrawn claims 3, 4, 11, 12, 19, and 20. Applicant added new claims 46-51.
3. Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 13-18, and 21-45 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuppusamy have been withdrawn pursuant to applicant's amendment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
5. Claims 19, 20, 24, 25, 29 and 30, recite the limitation "in response to the detected reference, embedding *the hyperlink* within the first location". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Furthermore, the Examiner suggests removing the phrase '*and wherein*' found within the limitation of the independent claims. Replacing said phrase with '*and*' would clearly define the limitation and distinctly claim the applicant's invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. **Claims 1, 2, 4-7, 9-12, and 14-30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuppusamy et al., USPN 6,769,096 filed 6/24/1998 in view of Baird et al., USPN 6,992,687 filed (12/7/1999).**

In reference to independent claim 1, Kuppusamy teaches:

Once the frameset is created, the contents (quarterly report) of both documents can be saved as HTML documents in an Internet browser-readable format (compare to “*storing an electronic version of a paper, the version being displayable on a display device as a likeness of the paper*”). See column 10, lines 8-16.

A heading is detected within the first location of the quarterly report, which provides a reference to a second location within the quarterly report (compare to “*detecting a reference to a second location, wherein the detected reference is a phrase*”). See column 7, lines 45-67.

The creation of the entries in the TOC document occurs once the selected headings are identified. A hyperlink entry is created in the TOC document for each selected heading (compare to “*in response to the detected reference, embedding a hyperlink within the first location*”). See column 8, lines 25-38.

The text of the entry into the TOC document may be displayed in a different color than ordinary text, or the text of the entry may be underlined to identify its linking function. In the screen display, the links are displayed as underlined text (compare to “*when the first location is displayed on the display device, highlighting the first location to indicate the hyperlink as being selectable by a user to cause an operation associated with the second location*”). See column 8, lines 48-60.

The examiner believes the first location is taught by quarterly report and the TOC document. The reference provides the two separate pieces of information related to each other in one display. Highlighting the first reference would equate to underlining/changing the color of the section name found within the quarterly report. The creation of the entries in the TOC document occurs once the selected headings are identified and indicate different locations within the document (compare to “*detecting a reference to a second location*”). See column 8, lines 25-38. The reference provides a quarterly report and the TOC document for each selected heading. The reference fails to explicitly state that the embedded hyperlink is found within the detected reference; however, Baird teaches a method of creating a page object in response to a selection signal. A visual indicator which is created to allow the user to access different parts of a document is displayed along with the desired part of the document. See column 5, lines 15-28. Furthermore, although the visual indicators depicted in Figures 3 and 4 are shown along the top margin, they may be equally displayed virtually anywhere relative to the document being displayed. The reference teaches embedding links anywhere within documents. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Kuppusamy and Baird before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the embedded link methods taught by Kuppusamy to include the placement methods of Baird, because it would have given the user multiple destinations within a document to place links and allowed for a more organized display of embedded links.

In reference to dependent claim 2, Kuppusamy teaches:

A hyperlink entry is created in the TOC document for each selected heading. A hyperlink has two components: a link and an anchor. The link is a specially activated word, phrase or

image in the TOC document that, when triggered, transfers the focus to the anchor in the target document. See column 8, lines 25-47.

In reference to dependent claim 4, Kuppusamy teaches:

The reference provides a hyperlink entry created in the TOC document for each selected heading. A hyperlink has two components: a link and an anchor. The link is a specially activated word, phrase, or image in the TOC document that, when triggered, transfers the focus to the anchor in the target document. See column 8, lines 25-47. The reference fails to explicitly disclose the second location external to the paper; however, the two separate documents (the TOC and the target document) could be considered as providing a link to a document external to the target document.

In reference to dependent claim 5, Kuppusamy teaches:

The reference provides a hyperlink entry created in the TOC document for each selected heading. A hyperlink has two components: a link and an anchor. The link is a specially activated word, phrase, or image in the TOC document that, when triggered, transfers the focus to the anchor in the target document. See column 8, lines 25-47. The reference fails to explicitly disclose the second location external to the paper; however, the two separate documents (the TOC and the target document) could be considered as providing a link to a document external to the target document.

In reference to dependent claims 6, Kuppusamy teaches:

Once the frameset is created, the contents (quarterly report) of both documents can be saved as HTML documents in an Internet browser-readable format (compare to “*storing an*

electronic version of a paper, the version being displayable on a display device as a likeness of the paper). See column 10, lines 8-16.

A heading is detected within the first location of the quarterly report, which provides a reference to a second location within the quarterly report (compare to “*detecting a reference to a second location, wherein the detected reference is a phrase*”). See column 7, lines 45-67.

The creation of the entries in the TOC document occurs once the selected headings are identified. A hyperlink entry is created in the TOC document for each selected heading (compare to “*in response to the detected reference, embedding a hyperlink within the first location*”). See column 8, lines 25-38.

The text of the entry into the TOC document may be displayed in a different color than ordinary text, or the text of the entry may be underlined to identify its linking function. In the screen display, the links are displayed as underlined text (compare to “*when the first location is displayed on the display device, highlighting the first location to indicate the hyperlink as being selectable by a user to cause an operation associated with the second location*”). See column 8, lines 48-60.

The examiner believes the first location is taught by quarterly report and the TOC document. The reference provides the two separate pieces of information related to each other in one display. Highlighting the first reference would equate to underlining/changing the color of the section name found within the quarterly report. The creation of the entries in the TOC document occurs once the selected headings are identified and indicate different locations within the document (compare to “*detecting a reference to a second location*”). See column 8, lines 25-38. The reference provides a quarterly report and the TOC document for each selected heading.

The reference fails to explicitly state that the embedded hyperlink is found within the detected reference; however, Baird teaches a method of creating a page object in response to a selection signal. A visual indicator which is created to allow the user to access different parts of a document is displayed along with the desired part of the document. See column 5, lines 15-28. Furthermore, although the visual indicators depicted in Figures 3 and 4 are shown along the top margin, they may be equally displayed virtually anywhere relative to the document being displayed. The reference teaches embedding links anywhere within documents. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Kuppusamy and Baird before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the embedded link methods taught by Kuppusamy to include the placement methods of Baird, because it would have given the user multiple destinations within a document to place links and allowed for a more organized display of embedded links.

In reference to dependent claim 7, Kuppusamy teaches:

A hyperlink entry is created in the TOC document for each selected heading. A hyperlink has two components: a link and an anchor. The link is a specially activated word, phrase or image in the TOC document that, when triggered, transfers the focus to the anchor in the target document. See column 8, lines 25-47.

In reference to dependent claim 9, Kuppusamy teaches:

The reference provides a hyperlink entry created in the TOC document for each selected heading. A hyperlink has two components: a link and an anchor. The link is a specially activated word, phrase, or image in the TOC document that, when triggered, transfers the focus to the anchor in the target document. See column 8, lines 25-47. The reference fails to explicitly

disclose the second location external to the paper; however, the two separate documents (the TOC and the target document) could be considered as providing a link to a document external to the target document.

In reference to dependent claim 10, Kuppusamy teaches:

The reference provides a hyperlink entry created in the TOC document for each selected heading. A hyperlink has two components: a link and an anchor. The link is a specially activated word, phrase, or image in the TOC document that, when triggered, transfers the focus to the anchor in the target document. See column 8, lines 25-47. The reference fails to explicitly disclose the second location external to the paper; however, the two separate documents (the TOC and the target document) could be considered as providing a link to a document external to the target document.

In reference to claims 11,12, 14, and 15, the claims recite the computer program product used for performing the methods as claimed in 1,2,4, and 5, respectively. Therefore, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

In reference to dependent claim 16, Kuppusamy teaches:

The text of the entry may be displayed in a different color than ordinary text, or the text of the entry may be underlined to identify its linking function. In the screen display the links are displayed as underlined text. Alternatively, the link may be a graphical image rather than text. See column 8, lines 50-59.

In reference to dependent claim 17, Kuppusamy teaches:

When the link at Entry is triggered, the focus of the target document will shift to an anchor located at “quarterly report.html#Executive Summary”. See column 8, lines 55-67.

In reference to dependent claim 18, 20, 24, 25, 29, and 30, Kuppusamy teaches:

In the screen display, the links are displayed as underlined text. Alternatively, the link may be a graphical image rather than text. See column 8, lines 48-58. The two separate files are the TOC document and the target document. See column 7, lines 15-34.

In reference to dependent claim 19, Kuppusamy teaches:

Once the frameset is created, the contents (quarterly report) of both documents can be saved as HTML documents in an Internet browser-readable format (compare to “*storing an electronic version of a paper, the version being displayable on a display device as a likeness of the paper*”). See column 10, lines 8-16.

A heading is detected within the first location of the quarterly report, which provides a reference to a second location within the quarterly report (compare to “*detecting a reference to a second location, wherein the detected reference is a phrase*”). See column 7, lines 45-67.

The creation of the entries in the TOC document occurs once the selected headings are identified. A hyperlink entry is created in the TOC document for each selected heading (compare to “*in response to the detected reference, embedding a hyperlink within the first location*”). See column 8, lines 25-38.

The text of the entry into the TOC document may be displayed in a different color than ordinary text, or the text of the entry may be underlined to identify its linking function. In the screen display, the links are displayed as underlined text (compare to “*when the first location is displayed on the display device, highlighting the first location to indicate the hyperlink as being selectable by a user to cause an operation associated with the second location*”). See column 8, lines 48-60.

The examiner believes the first location is taught by quarterly report and the TOC document. The reference provides the two separate pieces of information related to each other in one display. Highlighting the first reference would equate to underlining/changing the color of the section name found within the quarterly report. The creation of the entries in the TOC document occurs once the selected headings are identified and indicate different locations within the document (compare to “*detecting a reference to a second location*”). See column 8, lines 25-38. The reference provides a quarterly report and the TOC document for each selected heading. The reference fails to explicitly state that the embedded hyperlink is found within the detected reference; however, Baird teaches a method of creating a page object in response to a selection signal. A visual indicator which is created to allow the user to access different parts of a document is displayed along with the desired part of the document. See column 5, lines 15-28. Furthermore, although the visual indicators depicted in Figures 3 and 4 are shown along the top margin, they may be equally displayed virtually anywhere relative to the document being displayed. The reference teaches embedding links anywhere within documents. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Kuppusamy and Baird before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the embedded link methods taught by Kuppusamy to include the placement methods of Baird, because it would have given the user multiple destinations within a document to place links and allowed for a more organized display of embedded links.

Finally, Baird teaches a variety of exemplary menu selections applicable to a selected visual indicator. Access to the context menu is provided using known techniques, such as using a predefined mouse button. Other techniques for displaying and manipulating a context menu (e.g.,

touch screens, voice control and activation, etc.). Therefore, the voice control would have provided audio signals in response to the audio signal information within the embedded hyperlink system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art having the teachings of Kuppusamy and Baird before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the embedded link methods taught by Kuppusamy to include the audio signal methods of Baird, because it would have given the user multiple destinations within a document to place links and allowed for a multiple menu selections for an enhanced display of embedded links. See column 6, lines 20-67.

In reference to dependent claim 21, 26, Kuppusamy teaches:

The text of the entry may be displayed in a different color than ordinary text, or the text of the entry may be underlined to identify its linking function. In the screen display the links are displayed as underlined text. Alternatively, the link may be a graphical image rather than text. See column 8, lines 50-59.

In reference to dependent claim 22, 27, Kuppusamy teaches:

When the link at Entry is triggered, the focus of the target document will shift to an anchor located at “quarterly report.html#Executive Summary”. See column 8, lines 55-67.

In reference to dependent claim 23, 28, Kuppusamy teaches:

The text of the entry may be displayed in a different color than ordinary text, or the text of the entry may be underlined to identify its linking function. In the screen display the links are displayed as underlined text. Alternatively, the link may be a graphical image rather than text. See column 8, lines 50-59.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Ludwig whose telephone number is 571-272-4127. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ML

STEPHEN HONG
EXAMINER